

REVIEW ARTICLE

Advances in Nanotechnology Modified Dental Implants

Mohammad Sadegh Safarian¹, Mohammad Ghasemirad², Faeze Javaheri Neyestanaki³, Meysam Mohammadikhah⁴, Mohammad Gerayeli⁵, Mohammad-Saleh Zare³, Haniyeh Alavi Milani^{6*}

¹ Department of Periodontology, School of Dentistry, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

² Department of Periodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Rafsanjan University of Medical Sciences, Rafsanjan, Iran

³ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran

⁴ Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Alborz, Iran

⁵ Private Practice, Periodontics, Lux Smile Dental Clinic, Mashhad, Iran

⁶ Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 18 Nov 2024

Accepted 03 Feb 2025

Published 01 Mar 2025

Keywords:

Nanotechnology

Dental implants

Osseointegration

Nanocoatings

ABSTRACT

The advent of nanotechnology has ushered in a new era in dental implantology, offering transformative advances in the design, functionality, and clinical success of dental implants. This review synthesizes recent progress in nanoscale surface modifications, nanocoatings, and the integration of nanomaterials into implant systems. Nanostructured surfaces, engineered to mimic the extracellular matrix, have been shown to substantially improve osseointegration by enhancing osteoblast adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation. The incorporation of antimicrobial nanomaterials, such as silver, copper, and zinc oxide nanoparticles, endows implants with potent antibacterial properties, effectively reducing biofilm formation and the incidence of peri-implant infections. Nanocoatings and functionalized surfaces provide not only enhanced bioactivity and corrosion resistance but also serve as reservoirs for the localized delivery of therapeutic agents, targeting inflammation and promoting tissue healing. Furthermore, advancements in the mechanical design of nanotechnology-modified implants, including nanocomposites, address longstanding challenges of mechanical mismatch with bone and improve long-term durability. Nevertheless, issues related to cytotoxicity, regulatory approval, and translation from laboratory research to clinical practice require continued investigation. Overall, the integration of nanotechnology into dental implants holds significant promise for improving patient outcomes through superior osseointegration, infection control, mechanical performance, and the prospect of personalized implant solutions.

How to cite this article

Safarian M.S., Ghasemirad M., Javaheri Neyestanaki F., Mohammadikhah M., Gerayeli M., Zare M.S., Alavi Milani H. *Advances in Nanotechnology Modified Dental Implants*. *Nanomed Res J*, 2024; 10(1): 12-20. DOI: 10.22034/nmrj.2025.01.02

INTRODUCTION

The evolution of dental implantology has entered a new era with the integration of nanotechnology, fundamentally transforming the design, functionality, and clinical outcomes of dental implants. Traditional implant materials, while biocompatible and mechanically stable, have often faced challenges related to osseointegration, infection control, and long-term durability. Advances in nanotechnology now allow for precise

modifications at the nanoscale-enabling surface engineering, nanopatterning, and innovative nanocoatings that significantly enhance the biological interactions at the bone-implant interface. Nanotechnology modified dental implants offer several key advantages:

- **Improved osseointegration:** Nanostructured surfaces mimic the natural extracellular matrix, promoting better cell adhesion, proliferation, and rapid integration with bone tissue[1-3].

* Corresponding Author Email: Alavi137516.ha@gmail.com

- **Enhanced Antibacterial Properties:** Antimicrobial nanocoatings such as those utilizing silver and zinc nanoparticles reduce biofilm formation and post-surgical infections[3, 4].

- **Superior Mechanical Performance:** Nanocomposites, including carbon-based materials, enhance the mechanical strength and resilience of implants, tailored to withstand oral stresses over time[3, 4].

- **Targeted Drug Delivery:** Nanomaterials integrated onto implant surfaces facilitate localized, sustained release of therapeutic agents, addressing inflammation and improving healing outcomes[5].

- **Personalized Implant Solutions:** Surface nanoengineering paves the way for customized, patient-specific implants, potentially leading to better long-term results and fewer complications[2].

This exciting intersection of materials science and dentistry has the potential to revolutionize dental implant therapy. By addressing longstanding challenges with innovative, biomimetic approaches, nanotechnology-modified dental implants stand at the forefront of restorative dental science promising improved durability, reduced complications, and higher patient satisfaction for years to come.

FUNDAMENTALS OF NANOTECHNOLOGY IN DENTAL IMPLANTS

Nanotechnology has significantly advanced the field of dental implants by introducing a range of innovative nanomaterials that enhance both the integration and functionality of implants. By leveraging the unique properties of these materials, researchers have developed dental implant surfaces that improve osseointegration and reduce the risk of implant failure. Nanostructures such as nanoparticles, nanotubes, and nanocoatings possess distinctive physicochemical and biological characteristics that encourage favorable cellular and tissue responses (Table 1). The main types of nanomaterials and nanocoatings utilized in dental implants, along with their characteristics and roles, are summarized in Table 1. The mechanisms by which these nanomaterials interact with biological systems are fundamental to their success in next generation dental implants.

Nanoparticles are ultra-small particles, typically less than 100 nm in size, which are incorporated into dental implants for their antimicrobial properties and ability to support tissue integration. Common examples include silver and gold nanoparticles, valued for their antibacterial effects[6]. Nanotubes,

especially titania nanotubes, provide a high surface area ideal for cell attachment, and they can also serve as vehicles for drug or biologic delivery to promote tissue integration and minimize inflammation[4, 7]. Nanocoatings are thin layers of nanomaterials applied to implant surfaces through methods such as sol-gel processing or anodic oxidation. These coatings increase surface roughness, thereby facilitating stronger bone-implant integration[4, 8].

Nanomaterials offer an enhanced surface area that is crucial for cell attachment, osseointegration, and effective drug delivery[9]. Many, such as silver nanoparticles, also exhibit inherent antimicrobial properties, which help prevent infections at the implant site[6]. Additionally, nanomaterials can be tailored to closely mimic the natural bone environment, improving biocompatibility and reducing the risk of implant rejection[2, 10]. The mechanisms through which these nanostructures benefit implants include promoting osteoblast adhesion and proliferation for better osseointegration, delivering therapeutic agents directly to the implant site for improved healing, and modulating the immune response to minimize inflammation and support tissue integration[2, 4, 7, 8, 11]. However, while the advantages are promising, challenges such as potential cytotoxicity, long-term stability, and the translation of laboratory successes to clinical applications must be carefully addressed. As research progresses, integrating nanotechnology with developments in tissue engineering may further revolutionize dental implantology, yielding even more effective and reliable solutions for patients[2, 8].

NANOSTRUCTURED SURFACES FOR ENHANCED OSSEOINTEGRATION

Nanostructured surfaces on dental implants represent a major breakthrough in improving osseointegration, the process by which living bone forms a direct and stable connection with an implant. The topography and chemistry of the implant surface are fundamental factors in this process, as they can significantly influence cellular behaviors such as adhesion, proliferation, and differentiation of osteoblasts key cells responsible for bone formation[12, 13]. Recent advances have focused on creating nanoscale surface modifications, including hydrophilic coatings, bioceramic enhancements, and precisely engineered patterns using anodization, etching, nanopatterning, and coating deposition

Table 1. Key types of nanomaterials and nanocoatings used in dental implants, their properties, and functional roles

Nanotechnology Strategy	Materials/Methods	Major Benefits	Key Clinical Considerations	References
Nanostructured Surfaces	Etching, anodization, nanopatterning	Faster and stronger osseointegration mimics bone ECM	Validation is required in human clinical trials	[1-3, 8, 13-18]
Antimicrobial Nanocoatings	Silver, zinc, copper, and titanium dioxide	Reduced biofilm/infections, lower peri-implantitis rates	Potential cytotoxicity, control of ion release	[3, 4, 22, 24-30]
Nanotubes for Drug Delivery	Titania nanotubes	Localized, sustained release of drugs, controls inflammation	Long-term release kinetics, stability	[4]
Bioactive Nanocoatings	Hydroxyapatite, bioactive glass	Enhanced bone bonding, biocompatibility, improved healing	Optimization of coating thickness/composition	[19-23]
Nanocomposite Materials	Polymers with nanoparticles, carbon	Superior mechanical strength, reduced mechanical mismatch with bone	Durability and fatigue testing	[2, 3, 31, 32]
Surface Nanoengineering	Custom 3D/4D nano-patterns	Enables personalized, patient-specific implants	Needs regulatory standards, clinical adoption	[2]

techniques[8, 14]. These nanoscale features not only increase surface area and energy, mimicking the natural extracellular matrix, but also actively promote osteoblast attachment and guided growth, resulting in more effective and rapid bone integration around the implant[13-16].

Comparing nanoscale to microscale surface modifications, nanoscale features offer enhanced control over cellular responses, whereas microscale patterns primarily improve mechanical interlocking for initial stability[17]. The synergy of combining both micro- and nanoscale modifications has demonstrated superior outcomes, optimizing both the mechanical and biological aspects of osseointegration[16, 18]. Nevertheless, despite promising results in laboratory and animal models, further clinical studies are needed to validate the long-term advantages of nanostructured implant surfaces in human patients. The integration of nanotechnology with developments in tissue engineering is particularly promising for the future, holding the potential to produce next-generation dental implants that deliver improved performance and lasting patient benefits[8, 15].

NANOCOATINGS AND FUNCTIONALIZATION OF DENTAL IMPLANTS

Nanocoatings and the functionalization of dental implants represent a significant advancement in dental implantology by harnessing the unique properties of nanomaterials to enhance implant performance. These coatings are strategically

designed to improve osseointegration, provide antimicrobial protection, and enable the controlled release of therapeutic agents that support healing and reduce infection risks. Common nanocoatings include hydroxyapatite (HA), titanium dioxide (TiO₂), silver, zinc oxide, and bioactive glass nanoparticles, each offering distinct advantages such as biocompatibility, corrosion resistance, and antimicrobial activity. Multifunctional coatings that combine these properties are particularly valuable for ensuring the long-term success and stability of dental implants. However, the overall effectiveness of nanocoatings depends heavily on optimizing factors like coating thickness, material composition, and architectural features to meet specific clinical needs. Among the various types, hydroxyapatite coatings are prized for their chemical similarity to natural bone minerals, which facilitates bone growth and integration, often reinforced with metals or polymers to improve durability and antibacterial effects[19, 20]. Titanium dioxide coatings provide excellent corrosion resistance and support tissue integration through nanostructured surfaces created by techniques such as electrochemical anodization[8, 21]. Silver and zinc oxide nanoparticles are renowned for their potent antimicrobial properties, which help prevent post-surgical infections when incorporated into implant surfaces or nanocomposites[22]. Bioactive glass nanoparticles contribute to bone bonding and stimulate tissue regeneration, enhancing implant stability[23]. These nanocoatings

collectively improve implant bioactivity, control bacterial colonization, and enhance resistance against chemical degradation in the complex oral environment[19-23]. Nanocoatings also serve as effective delivery systems for antibiotics, growth factors, and other therapeutic agents by enabling controlled release over time, which assists in managing infections and boosting tissue repair[2, 7]. The performance of these coatings is strongly influenced by their thickness, which must strike a balance between adequate coverage and mechanical integrity, as well as their composition and structural architecture, including porosity and surface roughness, which regulate cell attachment and proliferation essential for osseointegration[2, 8]. Despite their promising benefits, challenges such as potential toxicity, regulatory approval processes, and the need for comprehensive long-term in vivo studies continue to constrain widespread clinical adoption. Furthermore, the establishment of standardized application and evaluation protocols remains critical for ensuring consistent and reliable outcomes in the use of nanocoatings for dental implants[7, 22].

ANTIMICROBIAL NANOMATERIALS IN IMPLANT APPLICATIONS

Antimicrobial nanomaterials have emerged as a promising solution for enhancing the performance of dental implants by preventing biofilm formation and reducing infection rates. These materials, particularly metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, offer unique properties that make them effective in combating microbial colonization. This section will explore the role of specific nanoparticles, their mechanisms of action, clinical relevance, and the balance between efficacy and biocompatibility.

Metal and metal oxide nanoparticles, such as silver, copper, and zinc oxide, are increasingly being used on dental implant surfaces due to their powerful ability to prevent biofilm formation and support implant hygiene. Silver nanoparticles excel at inhibiting bacterial growth because they release silver ions that disrupt bacterial cell membranes and interfere with vital cellular functions[24,25]. Copper nanoparticles act by producing reactive oxygen species that damage bacterial metabolism, making them particularly effective at blocking biofilms on implants[26]. Zinc oxide nanoparticles release zinc ions, which hinder both bacterial proliferation and biofilm stability, and they are regarded for their strong biocompatibility, allowing for safe, long-

term use[25]. In addition to these, nanoparticles of titanium oxide and other metal oxides contribute to biofilm prevention by generating reactive oxygen species and releasing metal ions, further impeding bacterial colonization. Collectively, these nanomaterials enhance the antibacterial properties of implant surfaces, offering a promising approach to reducing infection risks and improving overall implant success[27].

Metal nanoparticles combat bacteria through multiple mechanisms at the nanoscale. One key process involves the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which can damage the bacterial cell wall, proteins, and DNA, ultimately resulting in cell death. In addition, these nanoparticles continuously release metal ions that interfere with bacterial cell membranes and disrupt metabolic activities, hindering both bacterial growth and the establishment of biofilms[28, 29]. Some nanoparticles can also bring about direct physical damage to bacterial cells, especially when they are part of engineered nanostructured surfaces[25, 29]. By combining chemical and physical modes of action, nanoscale materials provide robust antimicrobial effects that are particularly valuable for protecting medical and dental implants against infection[22].

The incorporation of antimicrobial nanocoatings on dental implants has been shown to play a vital role in reducing the occurrence of peri-implantitis and decreasing the likelihood of implant failure. By effectively inhibiting bacterial colonization and biofilm development on implant surfaces, these advanced coatings help prevent infections that can compromise implant health[27, 30]. In particular, nanoparticles such as copper and zinc oxide offer prolonged antimicrobial action, which is essential for the long-term stability and success of dental implants. This sustained protection is a significant advancement in implant technology, supporting improved patient outcomes by safeguarding implants against one of the major causes of failure[25].

While antimicrobial nanomaterials have proven highly effective in curbing biofilm formation and reducing infection risks associated with dental implants, ensuring their safe integration into clinical practice requires careful consideration of their potential effects on human cells. Among commonly used nanoparticles, zinc oxide demonstrates the highest level of biocompatibility, followed by copper and silver, highlighting the

importance of material selection. To minimize the risk of cytotoxicity and promote safe, long-term performance, controlling the release of metal ions and improving the stability of nanocoatings are essential strategies[25].

Despite the promising benefits of these advanced materials, ongoing challenges such as cytotoxicity and their possible environmental impact underscore the need for thoughtful nanoparticle design and application. Looking ahead, dedicated research should focus on optimizing the balance between antimicrobial effectiveness and cellular compatibility, while also navigating regulatory requirements to support the broader, safe adoption of nanotechnology in dental and medical implant systems.

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND DURABILITY OF NANOTECHNOLOGY-MODIFIED IMPLANTS

The integration of nanotechnology into dental implants has significantly enhanced their mechanical properties and durability, addressing several challenges associated with traditional implant materials. Nanostructuring has been shown to improve mechanical strength, wear resistance, and fatigue life, while also helping to mitigate the mechanical mismatch between implant materials and bone. Additionally, emerging nanocomposite materials are being developed to further enhance the performance of dental implants. These advancements are crucial for improving the longevity and success rates of dental implants.

Nanostructuring implant surfaces, such as through the application of nanoparticle coatings, has been shown to significantly enhance the mechanical performance of dental implants[2]. By increasing surface hardness and promoting a more homogeneous and stable interface, nanostructured surfaces are better able to withstand the repeated mechanical stresses experienced in the oral environment, leading to greater wear resistance[31]. This improved surface uniformity also helps to distribute stress more evenly across the implant, thereby extending its fatigue life and reducing the risk of fractures or long-term failures. Additionally, utilizing nanocomposites that combine nanoscale materials with conventional implant substrates achieves an optimal balance of mechanical strength and biocompatibility, ultimately contributing to the durability and prolonged functionality of dental implants[32].

Addressing the mechanical mismatch between implant materials and natural bone has been a persistent challenge in dental implantology, particularly with traditional materials like titanium, which possess higher stiffness than bone and can lead to stress shielding and eventual implant failure[1].

Nanotechnology provides promising solutions by enabling the creation of nanostructured titanium matrices and bioactive nanocoatings that better align the mechanical properties of implants with those of bone, thereby promoting improved osseointegration and reducing the risk of implant failure. These advancements stem from the precise modification of implant surface chemistry and topography at the nanoscale, which not only enhances mechanical compatibility but also facilitates a more favorable biological response, encouraging robust integration with surrounding bone tissue[31]. By closely replicating the natural extracellular matrix and optimizing both the structure and function of implant surfaces, nanotechnology-modified implants address mechanical and biological challenges simultaneously, ushering in a new era of durable and reliable dental restorations[33].

Emerging nanocomposite materials, which integrate nanoparticles within traditional implant matrices, are showing significant promise for the next generation of dental implants. These innovative composites offer superior mechanical properties, including increased strength, wear resistance, and fatigue durability, while also enhancing biocompatibility compared to conventional materials[32]. The addition of bioactive nanocoatings further optimizes implant performance by promoting osteoblast adhesion and differentiation, leading to more rapid and robust osseointegration[31]. Ongoing research into advanced nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes and graphene, suggests even greater potential for improving both the mechanical and biological characteristics of dental implants. Nevertheless, challenges remain: the long-term effects of nanomaterials in human tissues are not yet fully understood, and regulatory pathways for their approval are complex and lengthy[34].

Continued research is essential to evaluate the risks and realize the full benefits of these materials in clinical practice. Despite these hurdles, the potential for nanotechnology to revolutionize dental implantology and improve patient outcomes

is substantial, offering exciting opportunities for the future of restorative dentistry.

BIOCOMPATIBILITY AND SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS

The integration of nanotechnology into dental implants has opened new avenues for enhancing their biocompatibility and functionality. However, the use of nanomaterials in medical applications, including dental implants, necessitates a thorough understanding of their biocompatibility and safety. This involves evaluating their toxicity, immune responses, long-term safety, degradation behavior, and the regulatory and ethical issues surrounding their clinical use. The following sections delve into these critical aspects.

The evaluation of nanomaterial toxicity and immune responses is crucial for ensuring the safety of nanotechnology-based dental implants. *In vitro* studies play an essential role in the initial screening process by assessing the cytotoxicity, oxidative stress, and inflammatory reactions induced by nanomaterials in cultured cells. For example, cationic nanoparticles may interact with cellular membranes and induce oxidative stress, while anionic nanoparticles can accumulate in lysosomes, potentially triggering inflammation[35]. Complementary *in vivo* studies in animal models are indispensable for understanding the systemic effects of nanomaterials, including their biodistribution, metabolism, and excretion. These studies have revealed that nanomaterials can provoke oxidative stress and inflammatory responses, which are key considerations in evaluating their biocompatibility for human applications[36].

Furthermore, nanomaterials may interact with immune cells, leading to either immune activation or suppression; understanding these interactions is vital for predicting the immunological outcomes of nanomaterial-based implants. By combining both *in vitro* and *in vivo* evaluations, researchers can more accurately assess the potential risks associated with the clinical use of nanomaterials, guiding the development of safer and more effective dental implant technologies[37].

The long-term success of nano-modified dental implants largely depends on their degradation behavior and safety within biological environments. Ideally, these nanomaterials should degrade in a controlled manner, ensuring that no toxic by-products are released during the process. Studies have reported that certain resorbable nanocoatings,

such as calcium phosphate, not only safely degrade but also actively promote bone healing and integration[38].

To comprehensively evaluate these implants, long-term *in vivo* studies are essential. Such research investigates the chronic effects of nanomaterials, including their stability, potential toxicity, and interactions within complex biological systems over extended periods. While nano-modified implants demonstrate great promise, understanding their long-term safety profile and ensuring their reliable performance in clinical settings remain key priorities for advancing their widespread adoption in dental practice[2].

The clinical adoption of nanomaterial-based dental implants is accompanied by complex regulatory and ethical challenges that must be carefully addressed to ensure patient safety and public trust. Regulatory agencies require extensive evidence of safety and efficacy for nanomaterials, but the lack of standardized testing protocols and the evolving nature of regulatory frameworks can significantly delay approval processes[32]. Ethical considerations are equally important, encompassing not only potential patient and environmental risks but also the necessity of robust informed consent procedures when using novel nanomaterials. Transparency in communicating both the potential benefits and the uncertainties associated with nanotechnology-enhanced implants is critical to ethical clinical practice[39].

While nanotechnology offers the promise of transformative advances in dental implantology, comprehensive safety evaluations and progressive regulatory and ethical guidelines are essential to support its responsible and equitable integration into clinical settings.

EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Nanotechnology has paved the way for the creation of smart and responsive nanomaterials that actively interact with the biological environment, thereby significantly enhancing the functionality of dental implants. These materials can be precisely engineered to respond to specific biological cues, such as changes in pH or temperature, enabling targeted delivery of drugs or growth factors directly at the implant site. Nanostructured surfaces, designed to mimic the natural bone architecture at the nanoscale, promote improved osseointegration by fostering closer integration with surrounding

tissues. Additionally, many nanomaterials possess inherent antimicrobial properties, which help reduce the risk of infection and improve implant longevity[2, 9].

Looking towards the future, nanorobotics and nanosensors represent pioneering innovations with great potential in dental implantology. Nanorobots, though currently theoretical, could allow for ultra-precise drug delivery and minimally invasive surgical procedures at the nanoscale, drastically improving treatment outcomes[40]. Nanosensors embedded within implants could continuously monitor the health of surrounding tissues, enabling early detection of infections or implant failure[40]. Complementing these technologies, advances in 3D printing and nanofabrication have made it possible to design personalized implant surfaces that cater to the unique biological and structural needs of individual patients[2]. Such customization improves biocompatibility and promotes superior osseointegration by mimicking the patient's natural bone microenvironment[38].

Despite the remarkable progress made in nanotechnology-modified dental implants, several challenges hinder their widespread clinical adoption and large-scale production. Regulatory approval remains complex due to the novel nature and multifaceted properties of nanomaterials, creating delays in clinical translation[2]. Furthermore, scaling up manufacturing processes while ensuring consistent quality and reproducibility of nanostructured surfaces presents significant technical difficulties[9]. Long-term in vivo studies are critically needed to fully assess the safety, efficacy, and durability of these implants within physiological conditions[2]. Beyond technical and regulatory hurdles, ethical and economic considerations must be addressed: the high production costs of nanotechnology-based implants may restrict patient access and raise concerns about healthcare equity. Additionally, the extended effects of nanomaterials inside the human body remain incompletely understood, underscoring the need for continued research to ensure their safe and effective use.

CONCLUSION

The integration of nanotechnology into dental implantology has ushered in remarkable innovations that significantly enhance the clinical performance and therapeutic outcomes of dental implants. Nanoscale surface modifications,

advanced nanocoatings, and the incorporation of functional nanomaterials have collectively addressed many of the longstanding challenges in dental implant therapy—including inadequate osseointegration, increased susceptibility to infection, and suboptimal mechanical properties. By closely mimicking the natural bone environment and enabling multifunctional capabilities such as antimicrobial protection and localized drug delivery, nanotechnology-modified implants exhibit superior bioactivity, promote faster and stronger bone integration, and reduce postoperative complications.

Despite these impressive advancements, important challenges remain. Issues surrounding the long-term biocompatibility and cytotoxicity of certain nanomaterials, the scalability and reproducibility of manufacturing techniques, and the lack of standardized regulatory frameworks continue to slow widespread clinical adoption. Comprehensive long-term in vivo studies and interdisciplinary collaborations are crucial to fully elucidate the safety, efficacy, and cost-effectiveness of these novel implant systems in diverse patient populations.

Nevertheless, the trajectory of current research points to a promising future, with the potential for personalized, patient-specific implant solutions that further harness the synergy between nanotechnology and tissue engineering. As the field progresses, nanotechnology is expected to not only optimize implant design and performance but also improve patient satisfaction and long-term oral health outcomes. Continued innovation, alongside rigorous assessment and responsible clinical translation, will ensure that nanotechnology-modified dental implants remain at the forefront of restorative dentistry for years to come.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to this work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the use of artificial intelligence tools (specifically Perplexity.ai) during the development of this manuscript to improve its clarity and language quality. All suggestions and content generated by AI were carefully reviewed and edited by the authors, who take full responsibility for the accuracy and integrity of the final version.

REFERENCES

- Tomsia AP, Launey ME, Lee JS, Mankani MH, Wegst UG, Saiz E. Nanotechnology approaches for better dental implants. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants*. 2011;26:25.
- Zhang Y, Gulati K, Li Z, Di P, Liu Y. Dental implant nano-engineering: advances, limitations and future directions. *Nanomaterials (Basel)*. 2021;11(10):2489. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nano11102489>
- Yousif AAM. Advances in Biomaterials for Dental Implants: From Nanotechnology to Regenerative Medicine. *Future Dent Res*. 2024;2:28-38. <https://doi.org/10.57238/fdr.2024.152576.1011>
- Bokobza L. On the use of nanoparticles in dental implants. *Materials (Basel)*. 2024;17(14):3191. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17133191>
- Hakim LK, Yari A, Nikparto N, Mehraban SH, Cheperli S, Asadi A, et al. The current applications of nano and biomaterials in drug delivery of dental implant. *BMC Oral Health*. 2024;24(1):126. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-024-03911-9>
- Hossain N, Islam MA, Chowdhury MA, Alam A. Advances of nanoparticles employment in dental implant applications. *Appl Surf Sci Adv*. 2022;12:100341. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsadv.2022.100341>
- Marasli C, Katifelis H, Gazouli M, Lagopati N. Nano-based approaches in surface modifications of dental implants: a literature review. *Molecules*. 2024;29(13):3061. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29133061>
- Thomas B, Ramesh A. Nanotechnology in Dental Implantology. In: *Nanomaterials in Dental Medicine*. Springer; 2023. p. 159-75. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-8718-2_9
- Akbari Edgahi M, Naghib SM, Emamian A, Ramezanpour H, Haghirsalsadat F, Tofighi D. A practical review over surface modification, nanopatterns, emerging materials, drug delivery systems, and their biophysiochemical properties for dental implants: Recent progresses and advances. *Nanotechnol Rev*. 2022;11(1):637-79. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ntrev-2022-0037>
- Rasouli R, Barhoum A, Uludag H. A review of nanostructured surfaces and materials for dental implants: surface coating, patterning and functionalization for improved performance. *Biomater Sci*. 2018;6(6):1312-38. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8BM00021B>
- Bourgi R, Doumandji Z, Cuevas-Suárez CE, Ben Ammar T, Laporte C, Kharouf N, et al. Exploring the Role of Nanoparticles in Dental Materials: A Comprehensive Review. *Coatings*. 2025;15:33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings15010033>
- Łosiewicz B, Osak P, Nowińska D, Maszybrocka J. Developments in Dental Implant Surface Modification. *Coatings*. 2025;15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings15010109>
- Pellegrini G, Francetti L, Barbaro B, Del Fabbro M. Novel surfaces and osseointegration in implant dentistry. *J Investig Clin Dent*. 2018;9(4):e12349. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jicd.12349>
- Subramanian K, Tran D, Nguyen KT. Cellular responses to nanoscale surface modifications of titanium implants for dentistry and bone tissue engineering applications. In: *Emerging Nanotechnologies in Dentistry*. Elsevier; 2012. 113-36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-7862-1.00008-0>
- Bressan E, Sbricoli L, Guazzo R, Tocco I, Roman M, Vindigni V, et al. Nanostructured surfaces of dental implants. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2013;14(1):1918-31. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14011918>
- Verma SRDA. Nanoscale modifications of dental implants: An emerging trend. 2018.
- Yeo IS. Modifications of dental implant surfaces at the micro- and nano-level for enhanced osseointegration. *Materials (Basel)*. 2019;13(1):89. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13010089>
- Alamoudi A. Nanoengineering and surface modifications of dental implants. *Cureus*. 2024;16. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.51526>
- Islam MA, Hossain N, Hossain S, Khan F, Hossain S, Arup MMR, et al. Advances of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles in dental implant applications. *Int Dent J*. 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.identj.2024.11.020>
- Karali BK, Das S, Behera G, Mallik M. Synthesis of hydroxyapatite nanocomposite coating by electrodeposition route: a state of the art review. *Can Metall Q*. 2024;1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00084433.2024.2435104>
- Guo T, Scimeca J-C, Ivanovski S, Verron E, Gulati K. Enhanced corrosion resistance and local therapy from nano-engineered titanium dental implants. *Pharmaceutics*. 2023;15(1):315. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics15020315>
- Butler J, Handy RD, Upton M, Besinis A. Review of antimicrobial nanocoatings in medicine and dentistry: mechanisms of action, biocompatibility performance, safety, and benefits compared to antibiotics. *ACS Nano*. 2023;17(7):7064-92. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.2c12488>
- Choi AH, Cazalbou S, Ben-Nissan B. Nanobiomaterial coatings in dentistry. *Front Oral Biol*. 2015;17:49-61. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000381693>
- Sportelli MC, Picca RA, Cioffi N. Nano-antimicrobials based on metals. In: *Novel Antimicrobial Agents and Strategies*. 2014. p. 181-218. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527676132.ch8>
- Anandpillai PT, Kamali SM, Malathy V, Dhairiyasamy R. Evaluating silver nanoparticles, copper coatings, and zinc oxide nanostructures for antimicrobial medical device applications. *Matéria (Rio J)*. 2024;29:e20240742. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1517-7076-rmat-2024-0742>
- Feng J, Tong S, Thian E, Yang C, Yang K, Gong N, et al. Nanostructuring of biomaterials and reducing implant-related infections via incorporation of silver and copper as antimicrobial elements: an overview. *Mater Technol*. 2022;37(6):867-79. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10667857.2022.2080347>
- Maddheshiya S, Nara S. Nano-based antimicrobial coating strategies over medical devices and implants. In: *Next-Generation Antimicrobial Nanocoatings for Medical Devices and Implants*. Elsevier; 2024. p. 79-99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-95756-4.00003-8>
- Naik K, Srivastava P, Deshmukh K, Monsoor M, Kowshik M. Nanomaterial-based approaches for prevention of biofilm-associated infections on medical devices and implants. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol*. 2015;15(12):10108-19. <https://doi.org/10.1166/jnn.2015.11688>
- Gold K, Slay B, Knackstedt M, Gaharwar AK. Antimicrobial activity of metal and metal-oxide based

- nanoparticles. *Adv Ther.* 2018;1(3):1700033. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adtp.201700033>
30. Sahoo J, Sarkhel S, Mukherjee N, Jaiswal A. Nanomaterial-based antimicrobial coating for biomedical implants: new age solution for biofilm-associated infections. *ACS Omega.* 2022;7(50):45962-80. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c06211>
 31. Zemtsova E, Arbenin AY, Valiev R, Smirnov V. Improvement of the mechanical and biomedical properties of implants via the production of nanocomposite based on nanostructured titanium matrix and bioactive nanocoating. In: *Scientific-Practical Conference "Research and Development-2016."* 2018. p. 461. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62870-7_49
 32. Sharma P, Akram W, Joshi R. Nanotechnology-based implants: recent advances and future prospects for a range of diseases. *J Biomater Sci Polym Ed.* 2025;1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09205063.2025.2497627>
 33. Pathan D, Doshi S, Muglikar S. Nanotechnology in implants: The future is small. *Univ Res J Dent.* 2015;5:8-13. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2249-9725.150240>
 34. Singh AB, Khandelwal C, Dangayach GS. Revolutionizing healthcare materials: Innovations in processing, advancements, and challenges for enhanced medical device integration and performance. *J Micromanuf.* 2024;25165984241256234. <https://doi.org/10.1177/25165984241256234>
 35. Singh V, Verma A, Chouhan APS, Saxena R, Koranga S, Husain T. Safety and toxicity of nanomaterials in medicine. In: *Cutting-Edge Applications of Nanomaterials in Biomedical Sciences.* IGI Global; 2024. p. 429-49. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0448-8.ch018>
 36. Clichici S, Filip A. In vivo assessment of nanomaterials toxicity. In: *Nanomaterials-Toxicity and Risk Assessment.* 2015. p. 93-121. <https://doi.org/10.5772/60707>
 37. Kyriakides TR, Raj A, Tseng TH, Xiao H, Nguyen R, Mohammed FS, et al. Biocompatibility of nanomaterials and their immunological properties. *Biomed Mater.* 2021;16(4):042005. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/abe5fa>
 38. Lavenus S, Louarn G, Layrolle P. Nanotechnology and dental implants. *Int J Biomater.* 2010;2010:915327. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/915327>
 39. Sreeja P, Chandran KR, Sharma R, Nehra S. Bio-nanomaterials: Hazard, Toxicity, and Monitoring Standards. In: *Bio-Nanomaterials in Environmental Remediation: Industrial Applications.* 2025. p. 255-72. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527848546.ch10>
 40. Kasımoğlu Y, Tabakçılar D, Güçlü ZA, Yamamoto-Nemoto S, Tuna EB, Özen B, et al. Nanomaterials and nanorobotics in dentistry: A review. 2020.